

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				:
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/464,377	12/15/1999	MICAHEL R. STALLCUP	13761-726	7454
7590 08/12/2003				
ANTOINETTE F KONSKI ESQ BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			PROUTY, REBECCA E	
SUITE 1800 SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-4067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1652	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/464,377

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_

Stallcup et al.

Examiner

Rebecca Prouty

Art Unit 1652



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	or Reply		_			
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	•				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) is application to become	MONTHS f ne ABAND	rom the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 18, 20	03		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This action	on is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-3, 39-41, and 44-52			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	0		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)					
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-3, 39-41, and 44-52			•		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)					
8) 🗆	Claims			•		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌 accepte	d or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	□ I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	•		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm		4) Interview Con	mmary /PT	O-413) Paper No(s).		
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			nt Application (PTO-152)		
_	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
		_		•		

Application/Control Number: 09/464,377

• Art Unit: 1652

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/18/03 and the after-final amendment filed on 1/9/03 have been entered.

Claims 4-38, 42, and 43 have been canceled. Claims 1-3, 39-41, and 44-52 are still at issue and are present for examination.

Applicants' arguments filed on 1/9/03 and 7/18/03, paper Nos. 21 and 26, respectively, have been fully considered and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome some of the rejections previously applied. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Applicant is advised that should claim 47 be found allowable, claim 50 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the

Application/Control Number: 09/464,377

Art Unit: 1652

other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claims 47 and 50 are identical. Did applicant intend Claim 50 to depend from Claim 49 instead of Claim 46?

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 44 is incomplete as it depends from canceled Claim 43.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-3, 39-41, 44-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chen et al.

Chen et al. teach the cloning and expression of a CARM1 nucleic acid identical to SEQ ID NO:1. While Chen et al. was published after the filing date of provisional application 60/112,523, the provisional application fails to provide support for the current limitation to 90% identity to SEQ ID NO:1 in Claim 1 (and 95% identity in Claim 45). As such the instant claims cannot be granted the benefit of the filing date of the

Application/Control Number: 09/464,377

Art Unit: 1652

provisional application. Claims 39-41 and 48-49 as amended would appear to be allowable if they were rewritten as independent claims as the provisional application does provide support for the inventions of these claims.

Applicant's statement that they are preparing a 1.132 declaration stating that Chen et al. is a publication of applicants own work less than a year prior to the filing date of the invention is noted. However, as this declaration has not been filed at present the rejection is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca Prouty, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4000. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Rebecca Prouty Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

ebecca hours